REALISTIC STRATEGIES FOR BULLY PREVENTION & PROMOTING POSITIVE SCHOOL CLIMATE

Dorothy L. Espelage, Ph.D. Professor, Child Development Division; Educational Psychology, Hardie Scholar University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign <u>espelage@illinois.edu</u> www.dorothyespelage.com

Twitter: dorothyespelage

This research was supported by Centers for Disease Contr & Prevention (#1U01/CE001677) to Dorothy Espelage (PI)



With Awareness Comes Misperception

<u>Misperception</u>	<u>Scie</u>
Bullying is an epidemic.	Bull
Bully-suicide linked.	Bull
	Prec
Bully are vouna criminals.	Bull

- - -

Bullies need to be punished. Bullies – dysfunctional families

Scientific Evidence

Bully Rates Have Not Changed Bully Only One of Many Predictors Bullies are diverse in their outcomes Ignores Group Phenomena Good kids get involved in bullying

Definition of Bullying

Bullying is unwanted aggressive behavior(s) among schoolage children that has a high likelihood of causing physical or psychological harm or injury and is characterized by:

- an imbalance of real or perceived power that favors the aggressor(s);
- 2) is repeated or has a high likelihood of being repeated;

3)The victim(s) of bullying may feel intimidated, demeaned, or humiliated as a result of the aggression.

Definition of Bullying

Bullying is unwanted aggressive behavior(s) among school-age children that has a high likelihood of causing physical or psychological harm or injury and is characterized by:

 an imbalance of real or perceived power that favors the aggressor(s);

- is repeated or has a high likelihood of being repeated;
- The victim(s) of bullying may feel intimidated, demeaned, or humiliated as a result of the aggression.

Abandon Word - Bullying?

May 1, 2013: Dorothy Espelage cited in USA TODAY: "Stop using the word 'bullying' in school"

- Bullying:
 - Victimization
 - Aggression
 - Mean/Cruel Behavior
 - Disrespect
 - Sexual Harassment
 - 🗖 Racism
 - Violence

Bullying Prevalence

Among 3rd – 8th graders:
 15% Chronically Victimized
 17% Ringleader Bullies
 8% Bully-Victims
 60% Bystanders

Cyber-Bullying

"Cyber-bullying involves the use of information and communication technologies to support deliberate, repeated, and hostile behavior by an individual or group, that is intended to harm others."

(Bill Belsey: www.cyberbullying.ca)









Method

Participants

- 1,132 students (49.1% female)
- 3 cohorts (5th, 6th, 7th graders)
- Assessed across 4 waves including Spring/Fall 2008, Spring/Fall 2009
- Racially diverse (51% Black; 34% White; 3% Hispanic; 3% Asian; 9% Other)







Summary

- Consistent support was found for transactional relations between school-based bullying/aggression perpetration and cyberbullying involvement.
- If schools are promoting respectful behavior this will likely spill over to "technology" – another mode of communication.

Bullying Prevention – Meta-analysis (Merrell et al., 2008)

- Evaluated effectiveness of 16 bullying efficacy studies across some six countries (six studies in US).
- Only two of six US studies published.
- All showed small to negligible effects.
- Small positive effects found for enhancing social competence and peer acceptance, and increasing teacher knowledge and efficacy in implementing interventions.
- Reality—No impact on bullying behaviors.
- Farrington & Tfoti (2009) programs that are effective in European country include parents, use of multimedia, and target teacher's competence in responding to bullying.

Bullying Prevention – Pushing The Field Forward

- Need to recognize that bullying co-occurs with other types of aggression and other risky behavior (delinquency, AOD).
- Overlapping risk and protective factors need to be targeted in school-based programs in order to address spectrum of problem behavior (Cataliano et al., 2002).
- Need to consider interventions that address these risk and protective factors.
- Programs should address the peer or social norms in schools.

19

Bullying Prevention – Pushing the Field Forward Need to address the extent to which demographic variables (such as gender and race) impact efficacy. FOR EXAMPLE, IN ONE STUDY OF THE OBPProgram; reductions in victimization were found only for white students, not for the large sample of Asian or Black students (Bauer, Lozano, & Rivara, 2007) Need to consider how classroom management skills and implementation levels impact a program's effectiveness.

- Need to seriously consider how to motivate schools to engage in a serious conversation about bully prevention.
- REALITY- Research evidence MUST inform the next generation of prevention efforts; by contributing to modifications, enhancements, implementation issues, and must infuse INNOVATION into basic and applied scholarship.

20

Considering Bias-based Language Large percentage of bullying among students involves the use of homophobic teasing and slurs, called homophobic teasing or victimization (Poteat & Espelage, 2005; Poteat & Rivers, 2010). Bullying and homophobic victimization occur more frequently among LGBT youth in American schools than among students who identify as heterosexual (Birkett, Espelage, & Koenig, 2009; Kosciw, Greytak, & Diaz, 2009). Adverse outcomes are still present for LGBT youth, even after controlling for school-based victimization (Robinson & Espelage, 2012)







Bullying & Adult Outcomes

- D Special Issue in Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health.
- Studies examined longitudinal associations between bullying/aggression during childhood/adolescence and then associations with adult outcomes (substance use, offending, job status)
- Studies controlled for a number of risky individual (hyperactivity) and contextual (family) variables
- Association between bullying and later adult outcomes reduced when these variables were considered
- Conclusion: Bullying **MIGHT** increase the likelihood of these later outcomes.

Individual Correlates of Bullying Involvement

- Depression/Anxiety
- Empathy
- Delinquency
- Impulsivity
- Other forms of Aggression
- Alcohol/Drug Use
- Positive Attitudes toward Violence/Bullying
- Low Value for Prosocial Behaviors
- For review (Espelage & Swearer, 2003; Espelage & Horne, 2007)

Family & School Risk Factors

- Lack of supervision

- Lack of attachment

- Negative, critical

- Lack of discipline/

- Support for violence

- Modeling of violence

relationships

consequences

- FAMILY
 - Lack of supervision
 - Lack of attachment
 - Negative, critical relationships
 - Lack of discipline/ consequences
 - Support for violence
 - Modeling of violence
 - For review (Espelage, 2012; Espelage & Horne, 2007)

Relation Between Bullying & Other Victimization Forms

- Child maltreatment has been associated with difficulties in peer relations (Jacobsen & Straker, 1992; Shields & Cicchetti, 2001)
- Exposure to domestic violence has been linked to bullying perpetration (Boldry, 2003)
- Sibling bullying is tied to school-based bullying for victimization and perpetration (Espelage, Low, & De La Rue, 2012)

BULLYING PERPETRATION & SUBSEQUENT SEXUAL VIOLENCE PERPETRATION AMONG MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS

(JOURNAL OF ADOLESCENT HEALTH (2012)

Dorothy L. Espelage, Ph.D. University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Kathleen C. Basile, Ph.D. Division of Violence Prevention Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia Merle E. Hamburger, Ph.D.

Bully-Sexual Violence Pathway Emerging theory – bullying perpetration & homophobic teasing are thought to be predictive of sexual violence over time. Bullying is associated with increasing homophobic teasing perpetration during early adolescence. When students engage in homophobic teasing, sexual perpetration may develop as students are developing oppositesex attractions and sexual harassment becomes more prevalent.

Definitions

- Bullying: An act of intentionally inflicting injury or discomfort upon another person (through physical contact, through words or in other ways) repeatedly and over time for the purpose of intimidation and/or control.
- Homophobic Teasing: Negative attitudes and behaviors directed toward individuals who identify as or are *perceived* to be lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgendered.
- Sexual Harassment: Includes comments, sexual rumor spreading, or groping.

Participants of Current Study

- □ 1,391 middle school students
- \Box 5 middle schools (grades 5 8)
- □ 49.8% Females
- 59% African-American, 41% Caucasian
- 67% Low-Income





















Bystander Interventions (Polanin, Espelage, & Pigott, 2011)

- Meta-analysis synthesized the effectiveness of bullying prevention programs in altering bystander behavior to intervene in bullying situations.
- Evidence from twelve school-based interventions, involving 12,874 students, revealed that overall the programs were successful (ES = .21, C.L. .12, .30), with larger effects for high school samples compared to K-8 student samples (HS ES = .44, K-8 ES = .13; p = .001).
- Analysis of empathy for the victim revealed treatment effectiveness that was positive but not significantly different from zero (ES = .05, Cl: -.07, .17).
- Nevertheless, this meta-analysis indicated that programs were effective at changing bystander behavior both on a practical and statistically significant level.

43

 Bystander Interventions (Polanin, Espelage, & Pigott, 2011)
 Meta-analysis synthesized the effectiveness of bullying prevention programs in altering bystander behavior to intervene in bullying situations.
 Evidence from twelve school-based interventions, involving 12,874 students, revealed that overall the programs were successful (ES = .21, C.L.: 12, .30), with erger effects for high school samples compared to K-8 student samples (HS ES = .44, K-8 ES = .13; p = .001).
 Analysis of empathy for the victim revealed treatment effectiveness that was positive but not significantly different from zero (ES = .05, Cl: .07, .17).
 Nevertheless, this meta-analysis indicated that programs were effective at changing bystander behavior both on a practical and statistically significant level.

44





- Need to consider the following when considering a bystander intervention:
 - Age of target population
 - Gender of target population
 - Peer/adult norms around intervention: Including In-group and out-group norms, justification for bullying
 - Level of bullying and peer victimization experiences in the school
 - Length of intervention & who to deliver
 - Components of intervention (behavioral modification, modeling with media, awareness raising, parent training)



Social-Emotional Learning

- Goal 2: Use social-awareness and interpersonal skills to establish and maintain positive relationships.
 - Recognize the feelings and perspectives of others.
 - Recognize individual and group similarities and differences.
 - Use communication and social skills to interact effectively with others.
 - Demonstrate an ability to prevent, manage, and resolve interpersonal conflicts in constructive ways.

48

Social-Emotional Learning

- Goal 3: Demonstrate decision-making skills and responsible behaviors in personal, school, and community contexts.
 - Consider ethical, safety, and societal factors in making decisions.
 - Apply decision-making skills to deal responsibly with daily academic and social situations.

49

Contribute to the well-being of one's school and community.

SEL Framework

Research Foundations

- Risk and Protective Factors
- Bullying
- Brain Research
- Positive Approaches to Problem Behavior
- Developmental Needs of Young Adolescents (Espelage & Low, 2012)

Social-Emotional Learning (SEL)

- SEL focuses on the systematic development of a core set of social and emotional skills that help youth more effectively handle life challenges, make better decisions, and thrive in both their learning and their social environments through a climate that supports the practicing of skills.
- A meta-analysis of 213 programs found that if a school implements a quality SEL curriculum, they can expect better student behavior and an 11 percentile increase in test scores (Durlak, Weissberg, Dymnicki, Taylor, & Schellinger, 2010).

MULTI-SITE EVALUATION OF SECOND STEP: STUDENT SUCCESS THROUGH PREVENTION (SECOND STEP – SSTP) IN PREVENTING AGGRESSION & SEXUAL VIOLENCE

barothy L. Espelage, Ph.D. rofessor, Child Development Division; Educational Psychology, University of Illinois, rbena-Champolign spelage@illinois.edu

Sabina Low, Ph.D., Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Wichita State University

Research supported by Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (#1U01/CE001677)

TEACHER/STAFF PERCEPTIONS OF SCHOOL CULTURE: LINKS TO STUDENT REPORTS OF BULLYING, VICTIMIZATION, AGGRESSION, & WILLINGNESS TO INTERVENE

> This research was supported by Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (#1U01/CE001677) to Dorothy Espelage (PI)

Dorothy L. Espelage, Ph.D. Professor, Child Development Division; Educational Psychola <u>spelage@illinois.adu</u> Joshua Polanin. M.A., Lovola University. Chicaao

School Culture Matters

- "school policies, attitudes and behaviors of teachers, administrators and the student body, and the overall atmosphere or school ethos, determine the internal life or social, emotional, and motivation climate of the school." (Kasen et al., 2004).
- THESE AUTHORS FIND + SCHOOL CULTURE/CLIMATE IS ASSOC. WITH HIGHER ACAD. PERFORMANCE & LESS BULLYING

School Environment Survey

- Modified School Environment Survey (Low & Brown, 2008)
- □ 47 scale items plus demographics
- Developed through survey monkey
- All teachers, staff, administrators, and paraprofessionals invited to complete the survey through email from Principal.

School Environment Survey

- 35 of 36 schools returned surveys; 1 school only submitted 2; thus, data on 34 were included in school-level baseline data.
 - □ 1428 responses were complete; School M = 21.57 (SD = 10.31)
 - 66% teachers, 10% support staff, 9% paraprofessionals, 3% counselors, 4% administrators, 2% custodial staff, 1 bus driver, 1 cafeteria worker. 6 student teachers
 - 74% females
 - 75% white, 8% hispanic, 10% black, 4% other
 - Age range from 20-29 years (16%) through over 55 years (15%); M = 40 to 44 years of age
 - Teaching at current school 1st year (13%) through 10> years (18%); M = 3 to 5 years

School Environment Scale

 Six scales emerged from factor analyses, measuring teacher/staff PERCEPTIONS OF:

- Student intervention (5 items; α = .83)
- Staff intervention (5 items; α = .89)
- Aggression being a problem (5 items; α = .80)
- School is doing professional development /adminstrator support (8 items; α = .90)
- Positive school climate overall (7 items; α = .85)







Year 1: Pre-Post Results

•The HGLM analysis indicated that students from the Second Step intervention schools had a significantly decreased probability of self-report fighting (γ_{01} = -.36, p < .05, O.R. = .70) in comparison to students in the control schools.

•The adjusted odds ratio indicated that the treatment effect was substantial; individuals in intervention schools were 42% less likely to self-report fighting other students. No intervention effects were found for the other outcome variables.

Implications for Prevention Programming

- Need to give kids life and social skills, not just knowledge about bullying
- Need to assess whether effective secondary and tertiary programs address bullying and school climate
- Bullying programs need to consider incorporating discussion of sexual harassment and (homophobic language; Birkett & Espelage, 2010).
 - 67 bullying prevention programs in US, only five discuss sexual harassment or sexual orientation issues.
- Peers influence has to be considered in developing and evaluating prevention/intervention programs
 - 67 bullying prevention programs, only one attempts to target and shift peer norms.

Implications for Prevention Programming

- Recognize that students are witnessing and involved in violence in their homes. We need to give them alternatives to violence for solving problems and conflicts.
- Consider how the use of technology is influencing relationships and talk to kids about responsible use of technology.

Realistic Strategies

- Simple strategies can help to decrease bullying
 - Use data to make decisions (i.e., Increase hallway monitors; reduce time between classes)
 - $\hfill\square$ Involve PE teachers and coaches in stopping bullying behaviors
- With your support, students can play an important role in decreasing bullying
 - Implement a procedure to allow students to confidentially repot bullying incidents
 - Create a confidential reporting system (www.bullytracker.com)
 - Have an open door policy with counselors to address the needs of students involved in bullying

Realistic Strategies

- Make sure your school has an anti-bullying policy that is consistent with state and federal policies
- Make sure the adult workplace models healthy social relationships
- Work respectfully and collaboratively with families
- Use videos and classroom discussion guides to talk about the detrimental effects of bullying
- Use social-emotional learning activities to create a positive school climate
- Use a positive behavioral interventions and supports to respond effectively to student behaviors